#### l'IA au service de la formation en Médecine: retour d'expérience du projet SIDES 3.0



Marie-Christine Rousset
LIG, Univ. Grenoble Alpes & Institut Universitaire de France
joint work with

Olivier Palombi (Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Inria-LJK, CHU, UNESS) and Enhrice Journet (U.C. Univ. Grenoble Alpes)

#### Fabrice Jouanot (LIG, Univ. Grenoble Alpes)



#### SIDES 3.0 : DUNE project (August 2017-July 2021)

**Towards data-driven personalized self-assesment and training** 



Produces a huge amount of low-level activity traces that are exploited by database administrators for predefined tasks

#### facilitates the empowerment of end-users in data analytics by using Semantic Web technologies and Linked

by using Semantic Web technologies and Linked Data principles

#### **Ontology-Based Data Access: OBDA**

- A novel paradigm at the crossroad of Artificial Intelligence and Databases
  - a **domain ontology** serves as a **mediator** for expressing **users queries**
- Ontology: a formal specification of a domain of expertise
  - a structured vocabulary (classes and properties) meaningful for domain experts
  - a conceptual yet computational model of a domain
  - ⇒ humans can express their data analysis needs using terms of a shared vocabulary in their domain of interest or of expertise
  - ⇒ computer systems can base decisions on reasoning on domain knowledge

### OntoSIDES ontology: interface for data access and analytics



#### Mapping-based automatic data extraction guided by the ontology



#### Zoom on data linkage



### OntoSIDES knowledge graph

#### the linked data layer of SIDES 3.0

- describes training and assessments activities performed by more than 145,000 students in Medicine over almost 6 years
  - exams and training tests are made of **multiple choices questions**
  - students answers are described at the granularity of time-stamped clicks of answers done by students for choosing among the proposals of answers (correct or distractors) associated to questions

# ⇒7,8 billions triples with almost 400 millions clicks coming from the answers of students to almost 1,4 million questions.

## Knowledge Graphs

- Modern knowledge representation formalism based on RDF data model
   more flexible than the relational model
  - adapted to data/knowledge sharing between distributed data sources over the Web
- a set of triples <subject, property, object/value>
  - subject, property and object are URIs (http Uniform Resource Identifiers)
  - dereferencable URIs (pointers to Web pages) versus local URIs
  - value is a literal (string, integer, date, boolean)
- Tractable reasoning
  - Simple knowledge (OntoSides ontology: 52 classes, 50 properties, 1400 instances, 18 rules)
  - Big data associated with a powerful query language (SPARQL)

#### Illustration : RDF modeling multiple choice questions in OntoSides

Q30986 has for textual content "Concernant la péritonite appendiculaire, donnez la ou les propositions exactes :" ;

is linked to the medical speciality digestive\_surgery

has for proposal of answer prop98552 [ has for textual content "les signes infectieux sont présents d'emblée »;

has\_for\_correction « true »]

prop98553 [has\_for\_textual\_content

"il n'y a pas de défense abdominale ou de contracture";

has for correction « false »]

prop98604[ has for textual content

"elle peut se présenter comme une occlusion fébrile" ;

has\_for\_correction « true»]

prop98605[ has for textual content "il n'y a pas de pneumopéritoine" ;

has\_for\_correction « true»]

prop98606[ has for textual content « le traitement est chirurgical" ;

has\_for\_correction « true»]

## Illustration: Learning objectives linked to web pages of the wiki SIDES (\*)



(\*) official educational content provided by the association of French Medical colleges covering the French pre-residency program examination

#### Parametrized queries: a step towards personalized and explainable data analytics

**Illustration**: comparison of a given student's results with results of all students by medical specialty

WHERE {



#### Aggregate queries (SPARQL 1.1)

SELECT ?specialty ?globalAverage ?studentAverage

- not supported by query rewriting approaches
- requires data completeness

#### Data incompleteness

Problematic for conducting well-grounded learning analytics
 partial answers for basic Select From Where queries
 wrong results for aggregate or counting queries

This may occur on some specific properties likely to be involved in aggregate queries to define dimensions

is\_linked\_to\_medical\_specialty (from questions to medical specialties)

• 13% questions have been explicitly linked by their authors to medical specialties

is\_linked\_to\_ECN\_item (from questions to learning objectives)

• 12% questions linked to learning objectives

### Knowledge graph completion and enrichment

#### Knowledge graph completion

- automatically inferring missing facts from existing ones
  - between questions and medical specialties or learning objectives

#### Knowledge graph enrichment

- automatically discovering links with external reference knowledge graphs or standard ontologies
  - Standard UMLS (Unified Medical Language System) medical terminologies like MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) and SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms)

#### => Can be modeled as classification or matching problems

 depending on the availability of textual description of the target entities and of training data

### Inferring links between questions and medical specialties

- can be solved as a multi-label and multi-class classification problem
  - **multi-class**: 31 possible classes (the different medical specialties)
  - **multi-label**: question can be linked to more than one medical specialty
  - •training set: the 149,000 (13%) questions (with their textual description) for which the property is linked to the medical specialty is valued
- using several classifiers
  - Naive Bayes, Maximum Entropy, CNN (Convolutional Neural Network)

#### Inferring links between questions and learning objectives

#### a multi-label and multi-class classification problem

multi-class: 362 possible classes (the different learning objectives) and multi-label
training set : 144,000 (12%) questions for which the corresponding property is valued

- can be also solved as a matching problem between the textual descriptions of the questions and of the learning objectives
   only for the 236 learning objectives that have a textual description
- using several variants of TF-IDF ranking function used in **Information Retrieval** to return the top-k learning objectives for each question

#### **Experimental results for classification**

Dataset	Classifier	Hits@1	Hits@2	Hits@5	Hits@10	MRR
	Naive Bayes classifier	73.8%	83.1%	84.2%	84.3%	79.9%
Dataset1	Maximum Entropy classifier	75.1%	88.9%	95.4%	96.8%	84%
	CNN classifier	76.4%	89.4%	96.3%	98.5%	85.2%
	Naive Bayes classifier	56.4%	64.8%	67.8%	67.9%	61.5%
Dataset2	Maximum Entropy classifier	68%	81.7%	90.6%	93.6%	78.2%
	CNN classifier	66.4%	78.9%	88.8%	93.4%	76%

**Dataset1**: 149145 questions -> 31 medical specialties

**Dataset2**: 144708 questions -> 362 learning objectives

Hits@k (Precision at k): average number of times a correct result appears in the top-k answers MRR (Mean Reciprocal Rank): average of the rank inverses of the first correct answer

- All the classifiers perform better on Dataset1 than on Dataset2
  - the number of classes for Dataset2 is more than 10 times the number of classes for Dataset1 for almost the same number of items to classify
- Naive Bayes outperformed by Maximum Entropy and CNN
- Maximum Entropy gives slightly better results than CNN classifier on Dataset2
- In more than 96% (93%) of the cases, the correct medical specialties (learning objectives) are returned in the top-10 answers

## Application: suggestions to teachers while editing questions

#### Édition d'une nouvelle question

\$

\$

\$

#### Intitulé de la question

Dans la situation d'une pyélonéphrite aiguë de l'enfant avec présence de cocci gram positif en chainettes à l'examen direct d'un ECBU, dire parmi les traitements, lequel (lesquels) est (sont) initialement recommandé(s) :

#### Réponses

cotrimoxazole par voie orale	Faux
céphalosporine de 3° génération (ceftriaxone) p	Faux
pénicilline A (amoxicilline) par voie parentérale	Valide
pénicilline M (oxacilline) par voie parentérale	Faux
pénicilline A (amoxicilline) par voie orale	Faux

Propositions du système:	Spécialité(s) que vous retenez:
Pédiatrie	Pédiatrie
Maladies infectieuses	
Ajoutez une spécialité:	
Addictologie	
Anesthésiologie - Réanimation - Urgences	~
Cancérologie - Radiothérapie	
Cardio-vasculaire	
Dermatologie	
Chirurgie digestive	
Endocrinologie - Métabolisme - Nutrition	
Médecine légale	
Gérontologie	
A REPORT AND AND A REPORT	

Spécialité(s)

#### Application to OntoSides completion

#### Focus on Hits@1

set up a threshold to obtain the desired balance between high precision and an acceptable recall.

Dataset	Threshold	Hits@1	% Questions
	0	75.1%	100%
	0.3	78.2%	96.5%
	0.5	82.1%	84.5%
Dataset1	0.7	86.6%	66%
	0.9	91%	43%
	0.95	92.6%	33.3%
	0.99	94.5%	18.3%
	Threshold	Hits@1	% Questions
	Threshold 0	Hits@1 67.5%	% Questions 100%
	Threshold 0 0.1	Hits@1 67.5% 75.2%	% Questions 100% 85%
Dataset2	Threshold 0 0.1 0.3	Hits@1 67.5% 75.2% 81.3%	% Questions 100% 85% 61%
Dataset2	Threshold 0 0.1 0.3 0.5	Hits@1 67.5% 75.2% 81.3% 86%	% Questions 100% 85% 61% 43%
Dataset2	Threshold 0 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7	Hits@1 67.5% 75.2% 81.3% 86% 89%	% Questions 100% 85% 61% 43% 29%
Dataset2	Threshold 0 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.8	Hits@1 67.5% 75.2% 81.3% 86% 89% 91%	% Questions 100% 85% 61% 43% 29% 23%

- if we consider that a precision more than 90% is acceptable for adding reliable links from questions to medical specialties (Dataset1), and to learning objectives (Dataset2), it suffices to fix the threshold to 0.9 for Dataset1 and 0.8 for Dataset2.
- it decreases the percentage of questions for which such links can be added (43% of links from questions to medical specialties, and 23% of links from questions to learning objectives).

## Comparative results of classification and matching

**Dataset3:** 108818 questions -> 236 learning objectives with textual description

	Method	Hits@1	Hits@2	Hits@5	Hits@10	MRR
unsupervised	Jelinek-Mercer applied to bags of words	44.5%	58.2%	72.2%	80.9%	57%
unsupervised	BM25 applied to bags of semantic terms	51.5%	64%	75.7%	81.9%	62.4%
	Naive Bayes classifier	56.2%	64.6%	67.5%	67.7%	61.3%
supervised	Maximum Entropy classifier	68.2%	81.4%	90.4%	93.6%	78%
	CNN classifier	66.2%	78.9%	88.6%	93%	75.9%

- The "bag of semantic terms" representation leads to more accurate results than the "bag of words" representation
  - Semantic terms are medical concepts that are automatically extracted from the textual descriptions by using the SIFR BioPortal Annotator (LIRMM, Clément Jonquet) applied to the French versions of the reference biomedical terminologies MESH and SNOMED
- Not surprisingly, supervised classification methods outperform the unsupervised ones (except Naïve Bayes at precision 5 and 10)
- However, unsupervised methods provide good results (above 80%) at precision 10

#### Automatic Discovery of Links with External Ontologies

- From the 236 learning objectives with a textual description to standard medical concepts described in biomedical ontologies
  - UMLS concepts in MeSH and SNOMED CT (French and English version)

#### Method overview

- applied to the set of learning objectives, seen as a corpus, each learning objective being seen as a document described by a bag of medical concepts
  - Computation of the term frequency (TF) and the inverse document frequency (IDF) for each medical concept present in the corpus
  - Filtering out the medical concepts with a low IDF (below a certain threshold fixed experimentally )
  - For each learning objective, return the top-k medical concepts (ordered by decreasing TF): k is also fixed experimentally

## Two-step validation: method and results

#### No training dataset available

- First step of validation on 15 learning objectives with a domain expert (O.Palombi)
  - calibration of the parameters to get the best precision at precision k
- Second step of validation with medical experts through an online validation interface
  - answers of experts on 96 learning objectives

#Evaluated Learning	#MSHFRE and SNMIFRE	P@5
Objectives	Semantic Terms	
96	510	94.5%

=> OntoSIDES enrichment by adding useful triples in addition to the discovered links

15371 triples added



#### SLIDE SEMINAR, JANUARY 31 2020

#### In summary

#### Specific completion and enrichment problems

 targeting property of interest guided by the needs in data analytics of domain experts.

#### Generic methodology

 exploiting textual information found in knowledge graphs through datatype properties or rdfs:seeAlso links to web pages.

#### **Experimental results**

 demonstrated that it can effectively perform big knowledge graph completion and enrichment with a precision up to 95%

## Ongoing and future work

Automatic generation of personalized Quizz

based on models that evaluate jointly the level of students and the difficulty of questions

Inria Nice WIMMICS and ENS Ulm Cognitive Science partner

 Full deployment of SIDES NG as a moodle front-end on top of OntoSIDES

UNESS

- SIDES LAB : new ANR project (starting in March 2022)
  - Large-scale in situ experimentation of several evidence-based strategies to enhance learning

=> continuously improve the learning platform to offer medical students individually optimised learning paths based on the newly obtained results.

## https://sides3.uness.fr/

#### Artificial Intelligence In Medicine, Volume 96, May 2019, Pages 59-67

OntoSIDES: Ontology-based student progress monitoring on the national evaluation system of French Medical Schools.

*Authors:* Olivier Palombi, Fabrice Jouanot, Nafissetou Nziengam, Behrooz Omidvar-Tehrani, Marie-Christine Rousset, Adam Sanchez.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2019.03.006

AMEE 2019 Symposium: Understanding student behaviour: the role of digital data

E-poster : <u>https://my.ltb.io/#/viewStack/BHKJS</u>

